

W

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/719,415	11/21/2003	Dusan Pavenik	PA-5360-RFB	3409	
9896 COOK GROU	7590 03/12/2007 P PATENT OFFICE	EXAMINER			
P.O. BOX 2269			PRONE, CHRISTOPHER D		
BLOOMINGTON, IN 47402			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3738		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			03/12/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/719,415	PAVCNIK ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Christopher D. Prone	3738		

	Christopher D. Prone	3738				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	ars on the cover sheet with the d	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 12 February 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.				
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff ice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	ce, which FR 41.31; or (3)			
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire a Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth hter than SIX MONTHS from the mailin b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejecti	on.			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as			
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	is of the date of e appeal. Since			
AMENDMENTS		will not be not and b				
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, It (a) They raise new issues that would require further contous (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet 	nsideration and/or search (see NO w);	TE below);				
appeal; and/or	ter form for appear by materially re	ducing or simplifying	ine issues for			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	jected claims.				
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)	·		•			
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s).						
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		II be entered and an e	explanation of			
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:	,					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	d sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence is	s necessary and			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ls to provide a l).			
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER						
 The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 	•	n condition for allowa	nce because:			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTØ/SB/08) Paper No(s)		•			
13. Other:		A.				
	AND MADERMATT	Y				
CORRINE MCDERMOTT SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER						

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The applicant's argument that neither Love, Pinchuk, nor Fearnot teach of an implant comprising a stent having distal and proximal ends that are coincident with the distal and proximal ends of a graft is not convincing. The examiner is broadly interpreting the terms proximal and distal ends as being the entire ends of the grafts and stents. The claims do not recite any language that requires the ends to being only the most extreme or most distal end portion of the ends. Therefore the rejection remains valid because the both ends of the stents are clearly coincident with a portion of each end of the tissue graft end.